



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10/790024	3/2/04	Giordano	48508-0014

EXAMINER
Choi

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1616	-

DATE MAILED:

## INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Frank Choi (3) Ellen Klaun  
(2) Don Pelto (4)

Date of Interview 8/8/06Type:  Telephonic  Televideo Conference  Personal (copy is given to  applicant  applicant's representative).Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted:  Yes  No If yes, brief description:Agreement  was reached.  was not reachedClaim(s) discussed: 187-201, 217-231Identification of prior art discussed: Bydlon et al. (2003/0050341)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Examiner indicated that Bydlon et al. at least w/ respect to disclosure in provisional application still applied w/ respect to 102 & 103 rejections.  
Examiner indicated would consider claims more narrowly tailored to operate ranges.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.